To meet the nation's transportation demands for the 21st century, innovation and technological advances in the transportation field will be essential. The public transit workshops were designed to expose and advance state-of-the-art research on four major themes: (1) energy efficiency as a transportation objective, (2) the impact of changing public policies on urban transportation, (3) the role of public transit in an intermodal transportation system, and (4) the advanced technology systems as they apply to public transportation. The workshops highlighted in this compendium focus on disseminating data analysis and research findings from the projects underway related to potential energy savings techniques. For example, energy efficiency, as a transportation objective, can be addressed in many ways: (1) technological innovation, such as more efficient transit vehicles and routing methods, (2) reduction of vehicle miles through increased transit use and carpooling, and (3) improved land use planned in cities to reduce the need for automobile travel. Achieving improved energy efficiency through any one of the above methods will have the added benefit of reducing U.S. dependency on imported fossil fuels. By conducting this workshop series, a forum was provided for academic and professional participants to dialogue on transit topics. Secondly, the workshops encouraged the application of current research to service providers and policy formulation in the community. By stimulating existing partnerships, between academics and professionals, the conference series offered a conducive environment for information exchange and the opportunity to forge new relationships.
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ABSTRACT

To meet the nation’s transportation demands for the 21st century, innovation and technological advances in the transportation field will be essential. The public transit workshops were designed to expose and advance state-of-the-art research on four major themes: (1) energy efficiency as a transportation objective, (2) the impact of changing public policies on urban transportation, (3) the role of public transit in an intermodal transportation system, and (4) the advanced technology systems as they apply to public transportation. The workshops highlighted in this compendium focus on disseminating data analysis and research findings from the projects underway related to potential energy savings techniques. For example, energy efficiency, as a transportation objective, can be addressed in many ways (1) technological innovation such as more efficient transit vehicles and routing methods, (2) reduction of vehicle miles traveled through increased transit use and carpooling, and (3) improved land use planned in cities to reduce the need for automobile travel. Achieving improved energy efficiency through any one of the above methods will have the added benefit of reducing U.S. dependency on imported fossil fuels. By conducting this workshop series, a forum was provided for academic and professional participants to dialogue on transit topics. Secondly, the workshops encouraged the application of current research to service providers and policy formulation in the community. By stimulating existing partnerships between academics and professionals, the conference series offered a conducive environment for information exchange and the opportunity to forge new relationships.
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OVERVIEW: PUBLIC TRANSIT WORKSHOPS

To meet the nation’s transportation demands for the twenty-first century, innovation and technological advances in the transportation field will be essential. Researchers and practitioners are focusing human and financial resources on the identification of mechanisms that respond to the challenges facing the industry. Air quality problems, diminishing domestic fuel reserves, gaining public acceptance of new technologies, and incorporating a changing workforce into the transportation arena are some of the issues that must be addressed by transportation officials. The Center for Transportation Training and Research, as part of the Southwest Region University Transportation Center, sponsored a series of workshops from November 1992 to October 1995 which advanced the state-of-the-art on the aforementioned issues. FTA Administrator, Gordon Linton, offered expanded parameters by which transportation administrators and university researchers viewed study findings and considered experiential knowledge. The five conference themes were as follows:

1. Traditional and Non-Traditional Approaches to Conservation
   November 1992 - Houston, Texas

   Topics included state-of-the-industry experiences regarding CNG and LNG utilization, saving fuel through more efficient bus service programming, and methods of energy conservation in the marine transportation sphere.

2. Exploring the Impact of Changing Policies on Urban Transportation Systems
   April 1993 - Austin, Texas

   The early nineties marked a period of substantial policy changes for transportation. The Americans with Disabilities Act and Clean Air Act
Amendments mandated revisions in the standard operating procedures for transportation agencies across the nation. Heightened awareness of ethnic and gender changes in the workplace encouraged increased sensitivity in transportation decision-making.

3. *Intermodalism and Opportunities to Improve Mobility*
   September 1993 - Dallas, Texas

   Congestion management has been a transportation priority for several decades; conference participants examined whether the concept is elusive or is attainable. The potential role of intermodalism in achieving national goals was also explored.

   October, 1994

   Despite ongoing transportation planning, vehicle miles traveled are increasing in the U.S. Many strategies are having an impact on a small scale in communities across the country. This seminar focused on the causes of increasing congestion and highlighted selected individual responses.

   Because the issues of transportation are interactive, many of the topics that were basic foci of one conference were cross-cutting issues at other sessions in the workshop series.

5. *Alternative Fuels Requirements Mandated in Texas School Districts*
   October - 1994

   Alternative fuel is a significant component of energy conservation efforts mandated by the Texas Legislature. Texas schools were directly affected by the impacts of Senate Bill 740 – which required that schools with 50 school buses or more switch to some form of alternative fuel. In response to these concerns, The Center for Transportation Training and Research sponsored a conference to
examine the issues associated with the Government Bill, and its effect on the Texas school districts.

The workshops for regional mobility development issues were designed to advance the state-of-the-art on a variety of public transportation issues. The workshops focused on technology and expertise, for the purpose of fulfilling national transportation goals of safety, efficiency, imagination and creativity, along with opportunities for applying new knowledge and ideas. The issues addressed were linked to related problems such as multimodal linkages, economic growth and development. Since innovation and new technologies are central to sound management of capital and human resources, the research specifically addressed how to best disseminate basic research findings to a variety of users in the public transit industry, business industry, and community organizations/agencies.

This report is a compilation of the remarks made by speakers at all five workshop sessions. The comments made by workshop speakers may have linkages to concepts of other workshops in the series. Therefore, the remarks are organized by topic for contextual consistency and increased readability. Full conference agendas are in Appendix A.
REGIONAL MOBILITY DEVELOPMENT CHALLENGES

The industry is constantly changing. Transportation officials must be open to new ideas and innovation in improving mobility while simultaneously improving energy efficiency.

♦ ESTIMATING ENERGY & AIR QUALITY IMPACTS OF PARK & RIDE AND HOV FACILITIES

Katherine Turnbull, Texas Transportation Institute at Texas A&M University, related the effect of Energy and Air Quality on High Occupancy Vehicle facilities. She stated that the focus of high occupancy vehicle lanes (HOV's) is to improve travel time for the commuter. Turnbull, further explained that there are currently 45 HOV projects in 21 metropolitan areas in the U.S. and more are anticipated. Not only is the continuation of HOV Programs expected, Turnbull states that the current 350 miles will increase to 1,000 miles by the year 2030.

Houston's HOV's serve four purposes: HOV's first purpose is to address regional mobility concerns, secondly, to increase Park & Ride participation. Thirdly, to decrease the travel time and increase reliability of bus service, and finally, Houston's HOV's increase the overall person movement in the corridor.

Turnbull, indicated that efficient movement of people and buses is known to improve energy efficiency; however, she added that “the overall benefit that this movement has on total air quality and conservation requires additional research.”
IMPACTS OF TRANSIT TERMINALS: ISSUES AFFECTING ENERGY EFFICIENCY

Mark Euritt, Research Associate in the Center for Transportation Research at University of Texas in Austin, noted that there were many avenues in transportation that, if improved, could have an extremely positive impact on energy conservation. One such area is the energy impacts of transit terminals.

Euritt stressed some major areas of improvement include: improving vehicle operations, improving roadway operations, improving spatial arrangement, improving land use, upgrading traffic management and stimulating the use of public transit. He also highlighted comparatively small efforts that have a potentially large effect on our community.

Simply choosing another mode of transportation, such as occasionally cycling to work or school, could greatly impact air pollution and energy consumption. Individual drivers can save time and energy by adding a fellow coworker or classmate on selected routes. An imposing obstacle to one-driver automobiles is stiff environmental, energy and economic policies. Fuel efficiency is another factor directly affecting energy conservation in our nation. Euritt argued for increasing utilization of alternative fuels in the transit industry.

Euritt also discussed the Texas Social Cost Index. The index serves as a guideline for issues related to energy conservation. Some factors included in the derivation of the index were clean air, energy efficiency and economic growth. The policy items are weighted with rates as follows: clean air (50), energy savings (35), and economic growth (15). The social cost index could be rated relative to gasoline prices.
A METHODOLOGY TO DETERMINE OPTIMAL TRANSIT SPACING

Jacqueline Bonds, Graduate Research Assistant, reported on methods to determine optimal transit terminal spacing. Bonds highlighted transportation modes for examination: Buses and Light Rail Transit.

Bonds stated that to make the best determination, overall cost should be assessed, clearly examining both operational cost and user cost. Operational costs consist of actual financial expenses such as, maintenance, fuel and operator wages; whereas, user cost is estimated by the amount of time spent. Time used to get to the terminal, time spent waiting on vehicle, in-vehicle time, would all be examples of user cost. Bonds concluded that the ideal method is one that significantly reduces both the user cost and the operational cost.
ENERGY EFFICIENCY: STATE-OF-THE-INDUSTRY ADVANCES

✦ UTILIZING TECHNOLOGICAL ADVANCES AND PROVEN TECHNIQUES FOR ENERGY EFFICIENCY

Energy efficiency may be obtained in a variety of ways including the use of alternative fuels, changes in travel behavior (including telecommuting), and improved operational efficiencies.

Jane Goff and Dock Burke discussed The Texas Transportation Energy Data Base which is a modal energy data base for transportation. Unfortunately, Texas has the highest per capita rate of energy consumption in the country. This is one of the reasons TTI developed the Modal Energy Base. The primary objective is to achieve a comprehensive energy data base for the transportation sector of Texas. TTI's data base is similar in concept to the national data base maintained by the Oak Ridge National Laboratory.

✦ TELECOMMUNICATIONS, TRANSPORTATION AND ENERGY INTERACTION

Sani Mahmassani discussed telecommunication in Transportation and Energy interaction. With the increasing role of personal computers in energy savings, Mahmassani called attention to the growing use of "telecommuting strategies" in business and industry. Telecommuting strategies are exhibited in the increase of people working at home, using faxes and modems, or by commuting short distances to satellite office centers that are equipped to allow employees to work in a business environment while simultaneously saving time and energy over a longer commute to the principal place of employment.
Furthermore, communication technologies are also essential in the transportation industry. Mahmassani discussed how telecommunications can be used to control traffic congested thoroughfares by displaying information on a real-time basis for individual vehicles.

Similarly, JoAnn Pratt echoed the importance of telecommunications in transportation. She noted that by promoting and practicing telecommuting, the nation's energy consumption would decline considerably. Pratt elaborated on the 7.2 million people that currently telecommute in the United States which resulted in a 20% decrease in work trips.
ENERGY EFFICIENCY: UTILIZING EXISTING RESOURCES

♦ ALTERNATIVE FUELS: COMPRESSED NATURAL GAS AND LIQUEFIED NATURAL GAS

Jason Smitherman, Alternative Fuels Program Administrator for the State of Oklahoma, acted as moderator for one energy efficiency workshop. He pointed out that the topic of energy efficiency was an appropriate subject since the public transportation industry is under a mandate to show significant conversion of vehicles to alternative fuels.

Panel discussions highlighted various statutes by public agencies designed to promote the use of alternative fuels. Smitherman, used a video presentation to exhibit Oklahoma's fuel conversion program to Compressed Natural Gas (CNG). Tulsa also has additional programs aimed at reducing fuel costs, it is estimated that they have saved approximately $800 - $1000 annually in fuel costs per vehicle. Additionally, Oklahoma is attracting private sector companies to participate in the program by offering financial incentives.

Globally, America is dependent on outside sources for 50% of its fuel supply. Clearly, there is an urgency to improve the nation's dependency status. Smitherman challenged the audience with the statement, "We no longer control our oil; it controls us."

Rocky Burke discussed CNG as an alternative fuel for transit. He utilized data from the Austin Capital Metro CNG Alternative Fuels Program as the basis of his remarks regarding energy conservation. Burke, Director of Vehicle Maintenance for Austin Capital Metro, reviewed over two years of personal experience with CNG (Compressed Natural Gas).
Natural gas is almost non-polluting when compared to traditional fuels, such as diesel fuel and gasoline, which are detrimental to the air quality and environment. Compressed Natural Gas has minimal impact on the earth's environ. Furthermore, CNG is the safest of all alternative fuels due to its low volatility and density. CNG is also a plentiful resource in Texas and substantially cost-effective. CNG runs approximately $0.39 per gallon as opposed to traditional fuel which can escalate to over $1.25 per gallon.

Burke noted that both state and federal legislation have implemented policies to ensure that mass transit authorities convert to alternative fuels. Texas law requires that by 1998 90% of transit groups should be using alternative fuel. Moreover, by year 2000 the entire Texas Public Transit community should be converted to a form of alternative fuel. *(The Texas Legislature has since repealed this requirement.)*

♦ A SURVEY OF COSTS ASSOCIATED WITH FUEL CONVERSION BY SELECTED PUBLIC TRANSIT SYSTEMS: A REPORT OF PRELIMINARY DATA

Dr. Naomi Lede', professor of Transportation at Texas Southern University, and research assistant Mohammed Hamid addressed issues associated with fuel conversion participation, in a study entitled, *A Survey of Costs Associated with Fuel Conversion by Selected Public Transit Systems: A Report of Preliminary Data*. Lede' and Hamid stated that surveys indicated that over 61% of transit operations are using alternative fuels, and the majority of these companies are doing so on a voluntary or experimental basis. It was also determined that 55% of transit companies are using CNG (compressed natural
gas), while 22% use liquefied natural gas (LNG), the remaining utilize methanol and other fuels as primary sources. In addition, there are a wide range of costs and other issues involving logistics, longevity, fueling time, and engine related problems that must be assessed to determine the effectiveness of alternative fuels.

* FOCUS ON ISSUES ASSOCIATED WITH ALTERNATIVE FUEL UTILIZATION *

Ben Gomez, Assistant General Manager of Capital Metro in Austin, made the decision to use CNG in Capital Metro vans. Issues of importance were the location of a compatible fuel provider, swiftly learning the particulars of CNG operation, and the cost involved in converting. There were some critics of the endeavor who were concerned about the reliability of CNG fueled vehicles. Subsequently, Capital Metro bought extra buses to ensure stable service.

R.K. Rogers, Director of Quality Assurance, Training and Safety for Dallas Area Rapid Transit, stated that DART is dedicated to energy conservation. Rogers said that DART has committed to replace 90% of their transit fleet with CNG for fuel. They are also increasing bus sizes to 40 feet to hold more passengers. Other areas of conservation initiated by DART are recycling efforts -- water, paper, and anti-freeze.

Duane Huckabay of the Finance and Administration Department at the City of Houston, updated the group on the city's four year demonstration project testing compressed natural gas (CNG). Contingent on project results, a policy or alternative fuel utilization will be developed. He noted that the City of Houston is impressed with the availability of natural gas and the fact that public refueling stations will become available in the near future.
ENERGY EFFICIENCY: IMPROVING UTILIZING EXISTING RESOURCES

♦ LEGISLATIVE EMPHASIS

William Jernigan, Technology Transfer Specialist for the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) Dallas Support Office, revealed that energy savings, economy associated with more efficient fuels, and pollution reduction are all prevailing issues confronting the DOE. Jernigan predicted that by 2002 private sector transportation fleets will be required to use alternative fuels if the Clean Air Act objectives have not been met. He reminded listeners that the Secretary of Energy has the right to mandate tougher restrictions if the desired conservation goals have not been obtained. In the future, alternative fuel usage will expand to include non-road vehicles.

Alan Clark, Transportation Planning Manager for Houston Galveston Area Council (H-GAC), discussed the impacts that the Clean Air Act Amendments will have on the eight counties in the Houston Galveston region. Employers are submitting proposals to significantly reduce employee-miles-traveled by 1996. Ultimately, the amendments will result in greater energy efficiency for the area.

♦ OPERATIONAL IMPROVEMENTS

Francis Britton, Assistant General Manager for the Metropolitan Transit Authority of Houston, discussed various methods of using existing facilities and services more efficiently. Britton stated that there are components of energy efficiency that can be achieved through "working smart." More
importantly, these methods can be implemented while simultaneously lowering costs. Target marketing and experimental pricing are strategies which can be used to improve efficiency. Improving the load capacity of vehicles is another. Additional facility-related strategies for energy efficiency are bus facilities operating slightly over capacity designs.

Similarly, David Hitchcock, with Houston's Advanced Research Center for Global Studies, informed the audience that there have been various research studies on the scenarios of energy futures, stating that high, medium, and low projections were developed. He explained that high projections were "business as usual", medium projections were changes in technologies without changes in lifestyle, and low projections were changes in lifestyle and changes in fuel utilization technologies. He noted that the United States has exceeded in conservation even under the low projection scenario.

Houston Metropolitan Transit Authority's (METRO) general manager, Robert MacLennan, spoke on the major efforts METRO was putting forth for energy efficiency. MacLennan noted that 36% of Houston's air pollution comes from mobile sources such as automobiles, diesel buses and trucks. Automobiles contribute to pollution the most, but buses and trucks add their share as well. To remedy this, METRO concentrates on cleaning its diesel operation in addition to converting to alternative fuels. The agency also conducted an emission test on the exhaust systems of their buses. The test results sparked several clean air programs at METRO. It also initiated engine adjustments that increased fuel efficiency by 3/10 of a mile per gallon. While this amount may seem insignificant initially, it equates to a weighty $250,000 in savings annually!
Anthony Kouneski, General Manager at Capital Metro, echoed their efforts toward energy efficiency. Like Houston, Austin also has an extensive recycling program which recycles water waste, paper and anti-freeze. Other areas of conservation include the exciting possibility of using electric buses in the future. They have energy saving programs for lighting their facilities as well. Burning clean diesel and running emission tests regularly is standard at Capital Metro. From a service-oriented perspective, Metro is focusing on improving their overall bus service to attract more customers thereby reducing the fuel wasted in single occupant vehicles. Capital Metro also sponsored a free fare program to encourage ridership. Attention is also being centered on feeder and transfer center services to streamline operations. Creative innovations are being initiated such as comprehensive bikeway planning, subsidized vanpools and a 30-mile light rail plan.

5. Thomas Kornegay, Executive Director of Port of Houston Authority discussed the success of portway transport. Kornegay reminded the audience that waterborne transport is the most energy efficient mode of movement, using a mere one gallon of fuel per 300,000 miles of transport. Kornegay also revealed that simple remedies such as cleaning the vehicle and using special paints can greatly improve vehicle efficiency. Of particular interest were actions of Japanese water transporters who have recently added sails to their vessels taking advantage of the wind and improving efficiency.

Raul Regalado represented the City of Houston’s Aviation Department. The Aviation Department operates four facilities in Houston, William P. Hobby, George Bush Intercontinental, and Ellington Field airports as well as the heliport located in downtown Houston. Regalado noted that the most important areas of
cost containment is energy and fuel. Aviation uses an automated management system which regulates and monitors energy used in each facility. Additionally, preventive maintenance, flexible lighting systems and improved ground transportation are all efforts toward energy efficiency. Recently implemented programs such as alternative fuel use, employer-trip-reduction programs, and the use of more energy efficient materials and measures are underway. Regalado concluded by stating that new air traffic control systems will save 98.9 million in costs.
EXPANDING TRANSPORTATION’S TRADITIONAL SCOPE

INTERMODALISM CONSIDERATIONS

Gordon Linton, Administrator for the Federal Transit Administration, challenged planners to improve mobility. He noted that the Federal Transit Administration (FTA) is supporting efforts for change. The FTA, Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) and Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) all have missions to meet the challenge to improve mobility with a focus on balanced resources. Linton suggested the need for "humanizing" public transit, and emphasized that the quest is to connect people with their opportunities.

Martin Kelly, Urban Transportation Planner for the Federal Highway Administration, spoke on the nation's dilemma of the rising number of vehicles on the road and the effects that they will have on energy consumption. Kelly noted, that in the next 20 years the national population will rise by 20%. The number of licensed drivers will increase by 48%. Furthermore, the VMT (Vehicle Miles Traveled) will increase by 84%. At the same time, the average vehicle occupancy (AVO) will decrease by 15%. Clearly, these components will have a devastating impact on energy efficiency in the country.

Jim Lomax, of Texas Transportation Institute, stressed a need for practical applications of Transportation Systems Management (TSM). TSM improvements are achieved through such activities as roadway upgrades and signal progression to facilitate the movement of vehicles. Lomax noted that a TSM objective is to match capacity needs with the mobility needs in the community.
Tony Mendoza, Manager of Commuter Services for Dallas Area Rapid Transit, expounded on the purpose and focus of Transportation Demand Management (TDM). TDM's intent is to decrease the number of vehicles on the roads. Mendoza introduced several methods for reducing road congestion. He stated that by enhancing commuter carpooling and upscaling public transportation, congestion problems would subsequently be reduced. Also, TDM's focus is on creative movement of people, such as, staggering work hours, flex-time, and compressed work week. Finally, corporate transportation incentives like preferential treatment for carpoolers, transit fare subsidies, and guaranteed rides to residents would increase participation.

♦  REVISING OUR PARADIGMS

Milton Dietert, District Engineer for Texas Department of Transportation, raised the issue of transportation paradigms and the need to revise them. Dietert, defined paradigms as definitions, boundaries, and limits. He urged transportation management to exercise flexibility when establishing such paradigms. By keeping an open mind, the industry will not limit the future technological advances that might benefit the industry overall.

Dietert used the Swiss watch industry as an analogy. The Swiss watch makers once controlled 90% of the industry with a stellar reputation for the finest watches in the world. When the Quartz crystal was invented and presented to the Swiss -- they rejected it because their paradigm for a quality watch was that it have a mainspring and bushings. Today, the Swiss control only 10% of the watch-making industry.
Dietert challenged transportation professionals not to limit their thinking when working towards energy efficiency. He urged them to expand their thinking to benefit the entire community. For instance, the utilization of telecommunications for working and shopping and reduce miles driven by employees and students, and other trips.

He concluded by challenging the industry leaders to examine the paradigms they are operating within to allow for other alternatives to produce energy efficiency in transportation.
BEST PRACTICES: SAVING ENERGY AND REDUCING CONGESTION

♦ ENERGY CONSUMPTION: ALTERNATIVE FUEL DEVELOPMENT

Public agencies and private sector employers are in the process of assembling data and developing plans to respond to clean air, energy and ISTEA mandates with the objective of reducing congestion and improving air quality. Employers have begun to implement carpool/vanpool programs, subsidize public transit or otherwise encourage employees to abandon the single person automobile for the journey to work. Transportation professionals are vigorously encouraging employers to assess the mobility needs and habits of their workforces in anticipation of requirements to increase average vehicle occupancy. For instance, Houston area employers with more than 100 employees at a single worksite are encouraged to participate in local efforts to increase Average Vehicle Occupancy (AVO) from approximately 1.2 to over 1.4. The goal is to reduce the miles traveled to work and the first increment is to be achieved by 1996. A variety of mechanisms are available to reduce the miles traveled to work. These include rideshare incentives, supporting public transit usage, telecommuting, and financial incentives.

Dan Deaton, Deputy Director of the Dallas Regional Support Office for the U.S. Department of Energy, commented on the nation's energy concerns. Deaton stated that energy consumption is an insidious problem, and noted, “our world is experiencing a silent crisis.” He noted that 75% of the energy we consume is lost as waste and that only 25% does useful work. Deaton used the automobile as an example, stating that only 13% of the energy in gasoline goes toward moving the wheels, the remaining 87% is wasted.
automobile as an example, stating that only 13% of the energy in gasoline goes
toward moving the wheels, the remaining 87% is wasted.

Deaton cogently argued that we [the nation] cannot bring about any
significant improvement in the global environment -- nor prevent serious new
degradation -- without major changes in where we get our energy from and how
we use it. He reminded the audience that the majority of energy consumption
costs are not counted and remain hidden. Hidden costs were identified as health
costs from air pollution (10 billion dollars per year), traffic congestion (100
billion dollars per year), automobile accident costs (359 billion dollars per year),
and national energy security costs (more than 25 billion dollars a year). Deaton
notes that if these hidden costs were reflected the gas prices would increase by
$3.84 a gallon. It was Deaton's contention that alternative fuels could mitigate
much of the environmental, economic, and energy consumption problems we
now face.

Deaton pointed out that transportation accounts for much of the energy
use in the U.S. He estimates that 13% of U.S. household budgets is spent on
transportation. The miles traveled per year is constantly increasing. Even
though our modern vehicles are more efficient -- our appetite for energy
continues to intensify. Fortunately, alternative fuels have the potential to control
pollution without restricting transportation usage. Deaton advised that this will
change the U.S. transportation equation for the better. Furthermore, he stated
that alternative fuel technologies can help the U.S. balance of trade through
reducing imports and providing new technologies for export, thus, increasing
jobs and improving global competitiveness.
Deaton also stated, that in the future many states will adopt regulations relevant to alternative fuel use. One example of this has already been implemented in California. The California Low Emissions Vehicle Program targets TLEV (Transitional Low Emission Vehicles), LEV (Low Emission Vehicles), ULEV (Ultra-Low Emission Vehicles) and ZEV (Zero Emission Vehicles).

Other agencies are also attempting to increase alternative fuel vehicle (AFV) usage. The Texas Governor's Ethanol Coalition is one such group. The federal government is also attempting to set an example by utilizing AFV's. They have implemented the Big 3 Federal Program. The Big 3 program provides methanol, ethanol and CNG sedans, vans and pick-up trucks. Overall, there are over 30,000 CNG, 12,000 methanol, 500 ethanol and 300,000 on/off-road propane vehicles operating in the United States.

Similarly, Deaton states that in partnership with the “Big 3 Program,” automakers and other manufacturers are working to produce a car that is three times as efficient as those on the road today. The future automobile will get at least 80 miles to a gallon of gasoline, emit 80% less greenhouse gases, and by 2010 it is speculated that the new vehicle can increase the gross national produce by 20-50 billion dollars per year -- which will create one-half million new jobs in addition to reducing oil imports by 7 billion dollars a year!

♦ NEW TECHNIQUES

Marishea Love, a graduate student, presented evidence of successful Transportation Demand Strategies and Transportation Systems Management. Her presentation was entitled, The Effects of Telecommuting on Air Quality,
Energy, and Congestion. Love stressed that Telecommuting means moving the work, not the worker. By utilizing telecommuting techniques, less fuel will by expended and the cost of efficiency improvements will be offset by fuel savings. Love cited benefits and case studies of telecommuters. She also documented energy and travel time savings, as well as improved employee morale.

Tyrone Hamilton, a graduate student, focused on Intelligent Transportation System application. He discussed various State-of-the-Art technologies designed to save energy, reduce congestion, improve air quality, and make roadways safer for all motorists. Hamilton gave an overview of procedures for applying current technology in areas such as Commercial Vehicle Operations (CVO) and Advanced Travel Information Systems (ATIS). CVO technologies help simplify permitting and weigh-in which in turn allows safer highways for motorists. ATIS has the capability of warning drivers of hazardous road conditions by enhanced vision which cuts through fog, dust, and darkness, showing drivers the pathway that lies ahead. Electronic Collision Detectors identify the severity, size and location of accidents -- giving paramedics vital details to treat potential victims readily; ECD's also provide motorists with alternative routes to travel.

♦ ALTERNATIVE FUEL APPLICATION: CONVERSION MANDATE FOR SCHOOL DISTRICTS

Khosro Godazi, Associate Director of the Center for Transportation Training and Research, focused on an evaluation of Texas' mandated alternative fuel requirements on selected school districts in the state. The utilization of alternative fuels is considered an important component in a list of strategies to
reduce U.S. dependence on fossil fuels and to reduce emissions. Consistent with these strategies, in 1991, the Texas Legislature adopted Senate Bill 740 which required that local school districts with more than 50 school buses convert to alternative fuels. Fifty (50) percent of the fleets were to be converted by September 1996. However, a survey distributed by the Center for Transportation Training and Research at Texas Southern University in early 1994 received very poor response. Subsequent communication with the school districts determined that they were converting to alternative fuel use at only a minimum level and the process was proving burdensome.

In December, 1994, CTTR sponsored a symposium to discuss this requirement. Representatives from twenty-one (21) school districts in the greater Houston-Galveston area attended the session. Comments principally centered on the difficulties of meeting the mandate. In essence, the districts concurred that they lacked the financial resources to vigorously pursue the alternative fuel directive. The technology was not advanced enough to have a supply of vendors providing necessary equipment and ancillary support at cost-effective rates. Further, district operations and maintenance staffs had not been fully trained in the servicing requirements of alternately fueled vehicles. And importantly, shifting to more alternately fueled fleets demanded additional financing the districts had not allocated for transportation funding. One participant summarized by stating that the objective of school boards is educating students. Transporting them is a means to that end. Shifting to alternative fuel use requires substantial financial commitments, but does not
support the district’s principal objective, and thus is of low priority to school boards.*

*Video tape available on this particular conference. Contact CTTR or Technology Transfer Department for more information.

Note: The 1997 State Legislature will consider rescinding the alternative fuel mandate for school districts.
GENDER AND ETHNIC AWARENESS

Attention to ethnic and gender awareness and pursuit of increased representation in government and business have been sought in America’s workplaces for the past several decades. While advances have been made, there are still intense feelings on the part of African-Americans, Hispanics and other minorities that opportunities are limited to them due to racial factors. More recently, women have made strides in a historically male business world. Women, too, sense that greater accomplishments could be made by their group if opportunities were equally afforded to men and women. The response of business and transportation organizations, in particular, to issues of ethnicity and gender were the subject of an April 29, 1993 workshop session. Transportation professionals from a broad spectrum of backgrounds discussed ethnicity and gender awareness issues and their impact on the industry’s workforce.

The panelists focused on the challenges and opportunities facing managers and transportation firms in the nineties. In addition to the daily struggles of women and minorities, work-related concerns and problems were topics of discussion. The many situations in which companies seemingly have placed the proverbial glass ceiling above certain individuals and obstacles which seems to prohibit further advancement were major issues. The speakers encouraged the conference participants to not be dissuaded by the lack of recognition that may befall women and minorities. “The greatest challenge is to continue to commit to excellence and a superior work ethic,” noted one speaker. Minority and women owned businesses were encouraged to view higher levels of participation in the marketplace as a business issue, rather than a moral or ethical issue.
In addition to the historical forms of discrimination, minorities and women must be sensitive to charges of reverse discrimination from white males who insist that they are being unfairly denied employment and promotions due to affirmative action practices. Recent legislation (Crosen v. the City of Richmond) has required that proof of past discrimination be documented before agencies can set goals for inclusion of women and minorities.

♦ PUBLIC AND PRIVATE SECTOR RESPONSE

Charles Bailey, Director of the Civil Rights Division at Texas Department of Transportation, outlined the significant changes in progress regarding gender and ethnic awareness within TxDOT. Bailey stated that TxDOT is addressing gender and ethnic issues now, as opposed to the year 2000. Because TxDOT is a governmental state agency, it must set the standard. Bailey noted that these changes are to ensure gender, ethnic, and cultural diversity throughout recruiting, selection, training, and promotions at TxDOT.

A principal goal is to ensure equity and business opportunity enhancements for the thousands of minority and female owned business enterprises that have historically been under-utilized in our community. Bailey affirmed that, “We, at Texas Department of Transportation, face challenges in two separate but inextricably intertwined areas - employment and business.”

TxDOT is extremely concerned with businesses in the community. TxDOT generally contracts over 1 billion dollars a year. Through the Disadvantaged Business Enterprise (DBE) Program, TxDOT takes into consideration the gender and ethnicity of companies with which they do business.
with. Other agencies refer to this as Historically Underutilized Businesses
(HUBs). Bailey stated that for “virtually every contract TxDOT initiates, an
analysis is made of the availability of minority and women owned companies to
do the work or provide the goods and services required.” By doing so they have
contracted out 10.1%, over $1.8 billion dollars, of service to minority and women
owned businesses. The DBE Program is structured to host free training and
consultant services which are provided to help each company grow.

Bailey foresees even greater opportunities for minority businesses in the
future. He feels that higher DBE goals on TxDOT contracts will be common-
place in the near future. TxDOT is becoming more and more sensitized to
gender and ethnic concerns and challenges. He concludes that equity and
fairness must become the hallmark of both the public and the private sector
employers.

Similarly, Sharon Cosgrave discussed Agency Preparation for
Emerging Gender/Ethnic Awareness: Creating Opportunities for Minority-and-
Women-Owned Businesses. Cosgrave focused on the fact that the United States
Department of Transportation (USDOT) has proposed an amendment to the rules
guiding participation by Disadvantaged Business Enterprises in USDOT
Programs. The purpose of the DOT’s policy is to “encourage the growth of new
and existing DBE’s by providing the maximum practical opportunity to compete
for and participate in DOT’s financial assisted programs.”

Cosgrave challenged the audience by positing “Whether the DBE enables
firms to function in the free enterprise system?...” Do the companies gain a fair
and reasonable share of the work without contractually mandated DBE
participation goals? “Unfortunately not.” The answer is, Cosgrave points out,
that few minority firms are able to "graduate" from the DBE programs to the point that they are no longer considered to be economically disadvantaged. Subsequently, when firms do "graduate," the phone stops ringing.

Finally, Cosgrave advised that minority business owners must take responsibility for their own success by recognizing the business realities of the marketplace. The cost of doing business and the willingness to assume risks cannot be avoided. DBE's can increase their share and help each other by forming consortiums and joint ventures to pursue new work.

♦ LEGAL ISSUES

John Allison, Professor at the University of Texas at Austin's Graduate School of Business, discussed two reports that comprised Volumes II and III of the Disadvantaged Business Enterprise (DBE) Capacity Study Sponsored by the Texas Department of Transportation (TxDOT). Volume II, Legal Standards for the Establishment and Implementation of a Disadvantaged Business Program by the Texas Department of Transportation, discusses the requirement made by the 72nd Texas Legislature mandating that TxDOT establish a "set-aside" program for businesses designated as a Disadvantaged Business Enterprise for all contracts financed with state funds. Similar mandates already exist for contracts financed with federal funds. Allison provided the background for the actions of the 72nd Legislature, which was found in the Supreme Court decision regarding the case Richmond v. Croson in 1989. After discussing the challenges faced by state and local courts in the application of the Croson decision, Allison concludes his
examination of Volume II by explaining how the inclusion of women owned and minority owned business has further complicated this already complex issue.

Allison then began a discussion of Volume III, State and National Review of Disadvantaged Business Enterprise Legislation, Programs, and Availability-Disparity Studies, by examining its three separate components. In part one, a survey was designed and administered to officials in counties, cities, water districts, and school districts in Texas who sponsored contracting and procurement set-aside programs. The objectives were to determine the absolute and relative demand for DBE's by other Texas public response rates received. Out of the 870 government agencies surveyed, Allison was very pleased with the 75 percent response rates received. He then discussed the data and summarized its findings.

Part two examined a similar survey of state operated DBE programs that were administered nationwide and whose findings were presented. In part three, existing state-wide DBE are discussed and analyzed. Many of these programs are also a result of the Croson decision and their experiences may be beneficial for policy and decision makes in TxDOT.
AMERICANS WITH DISABILITIES ACT AND THE TRANSPORTATION INDUSTRY

♦ PUBLIC AGENCY RESPONSE

Carol Lewis, Director of Transportation Research, focused on another area of importance -- the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) of 1990 and the effect it has on the Transportation Industry in the U.S. The ADA caused a variety of responses in the business community; some of which echoed disapproval and skepticism. Many small businesses felt that the Act would simply enable disabled individuals, in addition to creating a legal loophole for disgruntled job-seekers and workers that falsely claim discrimination.

Transportation officials must ensure that public transit vehicles and facilities are accessible and public parking is abundant. By altering facilities and vehicles to accommodate the disabled, management provides a new source of employees, increased ridership and lower incurred costs. Lewis cited an article by Larry King, from the ITE Journal, (January 1993) that discussed the importance of existing facilities, new construction, and benefits of an accessible transit mix as required by the ADA. She also reported on a case study of the initial experience of a local transit agency's implementation of ADA. Capital costs were less than originally anticipated and response from the community was largely positive.

Lewis also discussed service provisions for the disabled community in Houston, Texas. The Metropolitan Transit of Harris County (METRO) is the public transportation provider in Houston. The "METROLift" was Houston METRO's response to ADA concerns. The METROLift is a wheelchair equipped
paratransit service that provides door-to-door service to its customers on an *as needed* basis. Customers using this mode of travel were required to call in advance to arrange travel services. METRO’s solution was not ideal to many in the disabled community. Several branches of the disabled public lobbied for the installation of wheelchair lifts on the primary metropolitan buses instead of *METROLift*, posing that *METROLift* was too restrictive in regards to the basic issue of freedom of mobility, patrons found it too difficult to get on scheduled service routes, and *METROLift* only covered a limited area. However, prior to ADA legislation, METRO authorized all commuter buses to be made accessible.

Catherine Rice, Supervisor of Accessibility Services at Seattle METRO, also discussed the development of the Americans with Disabilities Act. Rice reflected on early policies aimed at servicing the elderly and handicapped. The *Biaggi Amendments of 1970* were an addendum to *the Urban Mass Transportation Act* to address the special needs and requirements of the elderly and handicapped. The act required that federally funded transit programs make “special efforts” for the protected groups. Between 1970 and 1990 when the ADA was finally passed there was substantial unrest between the disabled community and the transportation industry. Transportation managers were concerned with the cost-effectiveness of implementing the changes outlined in the amendments. Lobbyists for the disabled community fought for additional regulations and compliance. Rice referred to the intensity of the protests - recalling group blockades and activists chaining themselves to local buses.

The ADA requires that all transportation providers, public and private, equip all new vehicles with wheelchair lifts and provide special services for those unable to use accessible fixed-route services. Rice cogently argued that the ADA
requirements were not *optional* and that transportation professionals needed to work diligently to maintain its ADA goals.

Rice shared her role-playing experience as a wheel-chair dependent individual. She found that the encounter was horrendous, even for an 8-hour day. She was unable to perform the most basic mobility tasks. She missed several buses and even fell out of her wheelchair while attempting to climb a curb. Rice stated that the experience sensitized her to the plight of the disabled person and has added tremendously to her conviction to serve that community.
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APPENDIX B
CONFERENCE AGENDAS
Energy Efficiency as a Transportation Objective:

"Traditional and Non-Traditional Approaches to Conservation" is conference topic.

As America is challenged to maximize the benefit of its dwindling energy resources and meet the more stringent requirements of the Clean Air Act, the focus of this Public Transit Issues conference becomes increasingly relevant," says Naomi W. Ledet, vice president for institutional advancement and professor of transportation at Texas Southern University. "We are pleased to have the impressive array of speakers and program participants here to address this timely subject," she added. The conference is sponsored by the Southwest Region University Transportation Center, a consortium comprised of transportation research programs in three universities: Texas A&M, TSU, and the University of Texas at Austin.

Clint Winters, transportation policy coordinator in the office of Texas Governor Ann Richards, will keynote the conference. Milton Dietert, district engineer, Texas Department of Transportation, will present at the luncheon session.

Wilbur Hare, regional administrator of the Federal Transit Administration, will moderate a panel on "Improving Utilization of Existing Resources" on this panel are representatives of three of Texas’s largest public transit systems: METRO, DART, and Capital Metro.

Jason Smitzler, director of the alternative fuels program for the State of Oklahoma, will present a paper and serve as moderator in the panel, "Energy Efficiency: Utilizing Vehicles."

On Friday morning, the question, "What else should we do?" will be asked by panelists composed, in part, of general managers of two transit systems, and the executive director of the nation’s largest inland port. Robert MacLemore of METRO, Houston, Anthony Kouneski of Capital Metro and H. Thomas Kornsay of the Port of Houston Authority will bring a perspective of three of the areas largest energy consumers. David Hitchcock of Houston Advanced Research Center moderates this panel.

University faculty, technical staff, and students are updating materials, formulating new methods, experimenting and systematically investigating a variety of data in key areas affecting public transit and regional mobility.

Greater exposure of this research is needed within both the academic community and the public arena. Further, efforts must focus on fulfilling key national transportation goals of safety and efficiency through the application of creative ideas. These workshops on significant regional mobility issues are designed to expose and advance the newest available information on subjects such as "Energy Efficiency as a Transportation Objective."

This conference will disseminate data analyses and research findings from the many projects related to energy efficiency as a transportation objective that are currently underway.

Energy efficiency as a transportation objective can be addressed in a number of ways, including:

1. Systematic innovations such as more efficient transit vehicles and routing methods,
2. Reduction of vehicle miles traveled through increased transit use and carpooling, and
3. Improved land use planning in either to reduce the need for automobile travel.

Achieving improved energy efficiency will have the added benefit of reducing U.S. dependency on imported fossil fuels.
Conference Schedule

Wednesday, November 4

4:00 - 7:00 p.m. — Registration

- Gulf Coast 6

6:00 - 7:30 p.m. — Opening Reception

- Stephen F. Austin - B
  Greetings: Joseph Jones
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  The Graduate School
  Texas Southern University

Thursday, November 5

7:30 - 11:45 a.m. — Registration
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  Clint Winters
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9:45-11:15 a.m. — Breakout Sessions

Session I - A: "Energy Efficiency: Utilizing Facilities"
This session focuses on how transportation facilities can be effective in conserving energy.
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Session I - B: Energy Efficiency: Utilizing Technological Advances and Proven Techniques
This session highlights methods of efficiency that have been effective in the past as well as methods that have potential for the future.
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This session focuses on energy-saving strategies that may be achieved through fuel adaptations and estimating techniques.
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  U.S. Department of Energy
  Dallas Support Office

Session II - B: Energy Efficiency: Improving the Utilization of Existing Resources
This session assesses the many avenues that can be pursued to more effectively utilize existing services and facilities.
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  Moderator: Wilbur Hare
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  Federal Transit Administration

  Panelists:
  Francis Britton
  Assistant General Manager
  Office of Management and Budget
  Houston METRO

  Ben Gomez
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Special Exhibit: METRO Houston's LNG Bus—The Metropolitan Transit Authority of Harris County (METRO) will demonstrate the benefits of liquefied natural gas as an alternative fuel and provide a tour of a newly-acquired LNG bus.
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Friday, November 6

8:00 - 10:00 a.m. — Registration
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  Texas A & M University
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Congratulations and Best Wishes to the Southwest Region University Transportation Center on its first Regional Mobility Conference of the 1992-93 Academic Year

THE SMART RIDE.
Capital Metro

METRO...A Commitment to the Environment

Environmental protection requires that each of us do our part. METRO is committed to the health and vitality of Houston's environment. We've risen to the challenge of these responsibilities by beginning an Alternative Fuels Program. By 1994, 40% of the METRO fleet is to be fueled by clean-burning Liquefied Natural Gas. Ultimately, all METRO buses will be fueled by LNG. METRO is proud to contribute to the environmental health of the region and we look to the future with hope for a cleaner tomorrow.
Houston METRO
Capital Metro/Austin
and the
National Chapters
of the
Conference of Minority Transportation Officials
Salute the
Southwest Region University Transportation Center
on its First Regional Mobility Conference, 1992-93
Energy bill does little to reduce U.S. dependence on foreign oil

Nation's lack of interest helped weaken long-awaited legislation. Regulation, for instance, was replaced with a statement saying competition is good and should be increased. Gas, like people, should go where it's cheaper.

Texas air panel asked to reconsider higher fines

By BILL DAWSON
Houston Chronicle

The Texas Air Control Board stepped back Friday from its two-month-old policy of boosting fines for major polluters and asked the agency staff to study the measure in light of industry complaints.

Alternative fuels now emerging in U.S. battle to beat pollution

By JAMES HEDBERG
Associated Press

WASHINGTON — Facing serious pollution, America is trying to reduce its dependence on gasoline. The nation is testing various options for cleaner motor fuels to help combat pollution, save money and reduce dependence on foreign oil.

President poised to sign energy bill

WASHINGTON — President Bush has asked Congress to pass a major energy bill, which he plans to sign next week.

Air panel tries to clarify vehicle occupancy effort

By NORMA MARTIN
Houston Chronicle

Legislation aimed at reducing the number of cars on the road could be amended to include more than 2.5 million people. In a hotly contested debate in the Senate, the bill was revised to require a 40-foot passenger-car/van to carry 10 or more people.

Houston buses driving alone in fuel choice

By TARA PARKER
Houston Chronicle

Gasoline may be the only fuel choice for Houston's buses as their passenger capacity increases.
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TRANSPORTATION

AIRPORTS
JFK International Airport Redevelopment Program
Cleveland Hopkins International Airport Runway Improvements
 Hobby Airport Fuel Farm Improvements, Houston, TX
Cleveland Hopkins International & Burke Lakefront Airports Master Plan

CONSTRUCTION MANAGEMENT
Cleveland Hopkins International Airport Taxiway 61 Air Cargo Area Road JFK 2000 Control Tower
Ohio Department of Transportation 1986 - 1990 Bridge Program Rehabilitation of Six Bridges, Ohio Turnpike
West Side Storage Yard, MTA - New York Meadows Rail Equipment Maintenance Facility - NJ Transit
Centralized Electrification and Traffic Control Center for Amtrak
NECLP - Philadelphia
Hiram Clarke Bus Operating Facility, Houston, TX
LIRR Main Line Electrification New York, NY

MASS TRANSIT
Light Rail Electrification, Catenary, and D.C. Power System Buffalo, New York
Southern California Rapid Transit Metro Rail Project, Los Angeles
Northeast Corridor Improvement 55 Miles Railroad Section
East Falls Church Station & Line Section, Washington, D.C.
Inman Park-Reynoldstown Station, Atlanta, GA - MARTA
Engineering Services, Procurement of 60 Heavy Rail Vehicles & 48 New Light Rail Vehicles, Cleveland, OH
Technical Services Manufacturing of Advanced Design Buses, Dallas, TX

STREETS, HIGHWAYS & BRIDGES
Highway and Bridge Improvements State Route 164, Ohio
Danville Expressway (Route 285), Danville, VA
Wesleyan Boulevard Pavement Widening, Houston, TX
State of West Virginia Bridge Program Replacement of Six Bridges
Bridge Inspection, Analysis and Evaluation, Cleveland, OH
Ohio Department of Transportation 1985-1990 Bridge Program Rehabilitation of Six Bridges, Ohio Turnpike Commission

STUDIES
Columbus Road Bridge No. 28 - Study
Cleveland, OH
Ohio High Speed Intercity Rail

TRAFFIC ANALYSIS
MTA, Houston, TX

Polytech, Inc.
Engineers, Architects, Construction Managers

CLEVELAND:
1744 Payne Avenue
Cleveland, Ohio 44114
(216) 696-8141

HOUSTON:
2526 Briarpark Drive
Houston, Texas 77042
(713) 266-6140

MILWAUKEE:
8150 North Port Washington Road
Glendale, Wisconsin 53217
(414) 332-3550

NEW YORK:
One Penn Plaza
New York, New York 10019
(212) 244-4992
GOOD REASONS TO CHOOSE A CAREER IN TRANSPORTATION:

1. Money.
2. Job stability.
3. World-wide marketability.
4. Upward mobility.
5. Mental/intellectual challenge.
6. Fun, pleasure, enjoyment.
7. Travel opportunities.
8. Social and business contacts.

Texas Southern University has graduate and undergraduate programs that prepare you for management careers in the transportation industry.

Undergraduate Programs in Airway Sciences:
- Airway Computer Science
- Airway Science Management
- Internships

Graduate Programs in Transportation Studies:
- Planning
- Policy
- Administration
- Systems Analysis
- Internships

For information about undergraduate and graduate programs in Transportation, call or write:

The School of Technology
Texas Southern University
3100 Cleburne Avenue
Houston, Texas 77004

Dr. William H. Harris, President
"An equal educational opportunity institution"
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INTERMODALISM AND OPPORTUNITIES TO IMPROVE MOBILITY

A one-day workshop on issues facing the public transportation industry:
Congestion Management,
Intermodal Opportunities,
FHWA on Updated Procedures.

September 30, 1993

RADISSON
HOTEL CENTRAL DALLAS
6060 North Central Expressway
Dallas, Texas 75206

This conference is underwritten by grants from the Offices of University Research & Sponsored Programs Administration and Small Business Utilization, U.S. Department of Transportation, Washington, D.C.
Exploring the Impact of Changing Policies on Urban Transportation Systems

A one-day workshop on three new legal realities facing the public transportation industry:
Clean Air Act Amendment,
Americans with Disabilities Act, and
Ethnic and Gender Awareness.

THURSDAY, APRIL 29, 1993
RADISSON HOTEL ON TOWN LAKE
111 EAST FIRST STREET
AUSTIN, TEXAS
(512) 478-9611
Who should attend this conference?

- Local and State Transportation Professionals
- Transportation Worker's Organizations
- Elected Officials
- Private-sector business owners and managers.
- University Administrators
- Academics
- Students
- Civic and Community Leaders
- Non-Profit Agency Directors
- Fleet Operators and Managers
- Workers With the Disabled and Physically Challenged
- Human Resources Specialists
- Environmental Professionals
Public Transit Issues

WORKSHOP TWO: EXPLORING THE IMPACT OF CHANGING POLICIES ON URBAN TRANSPORTATION SYSTEMS.

Thursday, April 29, 1993
The Radisson Hotel on Town Lake
Austin, Texas

7:00 - 11:45 A.M.  Registration

8:15 A.M.  Opening General Session
Greetings:  City of Austin
SWUTC

8:30 A.M.  Keynote:  The Honorable Rodney Ellis
State Senator
13th Senatorial District
Harris County, Texas

9:15 - 11:45 A.M.  Breakout Sessions

SESSION ONE:
IMPLEMENTATION OF THE AMERICANS WITH DISABILITIES ACT
Moderator:  Dock Burke
Director, SWUTC
Texas Transportation Institute
Texas A & M University

- Carol A. Lewis
  Director of Research
  Center for Transportation
  Training and Research
  Texas Southern University

- Cathryn Rice
  Supervisor of Accessible Services
  Seattle METRO

- Virginia Roberts
  Executive Director,
  Governor's Committee on People With Disabilities
  State of Texas

SESSION TWO:
CLEAN AIR ACT REQUIREMENTS
Moderator:  Naomi W.Ledé
Executive Director,
Center for Transportation
Training and Research
Texas Southern University

- Russ Baier
  Director
  Mobile Source Division
  Texas Air Quality Control Board

8:45 - 4:00 P.M.

12:00 P.M.  Luncheon
Speaker:  The Honorable Samuel Bisco
Commissioner, Precinct One
Travis County, Texas

1:30 - 3:45 P.M.
AGENCY PREPARATION FOR EMERGING GENDER / ETHNIC AWARENESS
Moderator:  DeMetris Sampson
Member, Board of Directors
Dallas Area Rapid Transit

- Charles Bailey
  Director
  Civil Rights Division
  Texas Department of Transportation

- Sharon Cochrane
  Sharon Cochrane & Associates

- Linda Escamilla
  Manager for Workforce Diversity
  Motorola

- Gwendolynmy Simpson
  Director of Human Resources
  Houston METRO

- J. Geraldine Tucker-Carter
  J. Geraldine Tucker-Carter

4:00 - 4:45 P.M.  THE NEW LEGAL REALITIES: MAKING THE ADJUSTMENT

- John Allison
  Director
  Center for Legal and Regulatory Studies
  Graduate School of Business
  The University of Texas

4:45 - 5:30 P.M.  Wrap-Up
The Southwest Region University
Transportation Center
Center for Transportation Training and Research
Symposium Agenda
October 21, 1994

12:30 p.m.  Keynote: State-of-the-Industry-Alternative Fuels
Dan Deaton, Deputy Director
U.S. Department of Energy Dallas Support Office

1:00 - 1:30 p.m.  Student Presentations on "Best Practices in
Saving Energy and Reducing Congestion"
Marishca Love
Tyrone Hamilton

1:30 - 2:15 p.m.  State of the Region: Implementation of
Employer Trip Reduction Programs
Racquelle Wooten, CTTR
John St. Julian, Texas Natural Resource
Conservation Commission

2:15 - 2:30 p.m.  Discussion
Adjourn

Please RSVP by October 18, 1994 Phone: 713/639/1925 Fax: 713/639/1925
Mail: 3100 Cleburne Ave Houston, Tx 77004

Name: ____________________________

Company: _________________________

Yes______ I would like to attend
No_______ I will not be attending
The Center for Transportation Training & Research at Texas Southern University

A Member of the Southwest Region University Transportation Center
Texas A & M University
Texas Southern University
The University of Texas at Austin

Presents

"Best Practices for Saving Energy & Reducing Congestion"

Texas Southern University Campus
Room 225 School of Technology (map enclosed)
Register Now

This conference is underwritten by grants from the Offices of University Research & Sponsored Programs Administration and Small Business Utilization, U.S. Department of Transportation, Washington, D.C.

There is no registration fee for the conference, however, cost of lunch must be borne by the participant. To pre-register and make lunch reservations, please complete and return the form below.

Please reserve a space at the conference for:

Name: ____________________________________________

Title: ____________________________________________

Organization: ______________________________________

Mailing Address: __________________________________

City: ____________________________________________

State: ____________________ Zip Code: ________________

Telephone Number: __________________________________

Area of Interest: ____________________________________

Include me for lunch at $35. □ YES □ NO

Please mail or fax the registration form to:

Center for Transportation Training and Research
Texas Southern University
125 School of Technology Building
3100 Cleburne Avenue
Houston, Texas 77004

Facsimile: (713) 639-1095

For further information contact:
Carol Lewis or Khosro Godazi
(713) 527-7925